






REVIEW ARTICLE

The role of neuropsychological assessment in the investigation of neurodevelopmental disorders



Danielle Irigoyen da Costa ^{a,b,*}, Rosana Vieira de Souza ^{b,c},
Thaís Cecília Fiamoncini ^d

^a Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Escola de Ciências da Saúde e da Vida e Escola de Medicina, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

^b Instituto do Cérebro da PUCRS (InsCer), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

^c Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Medicina e Ciências da Saúde/Neurociências (PPGMS), Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience Lab (DCNL), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

^d Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Escola de Ciências da Saúde e da Vida, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

Received 4 September 2025; accepted 9 September 2025

Available online xxx

KEYWORDS

Neurodevelopmental disorders;
Neuropsychological assessment;
Neuropsychological instruments

Abstract

Objective: The present study aims to present and discuss the role of neuropsychological assessment (NA) in the investigation of neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs), with an emphasis on early diagnosis, on the steps that make up the evaluation process, and on the resources that complement clinical evaluation. Furthermore, the discussion is expanded to specific conditions, such as Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), and Specific Learning Disorders (SLD), considering that they represent frequent assessment demands. **Data sources:** A critical review of the national and international literature on neuropsychological assessment in NDDs was carried out, highlighting standardized instruments for the Brazilian context, complementary clinical tasks, and main cognitive and behavioral domains. References to essential books and manuals were included, with analysis enriched by the authors' clinical and teaching experience.

Data synthesis: NA constitutes a central tool for the early identification of alterations in neurodevelopment, with contributions to diagnosis and to the definition of intervention strategies. The process involves systematic steps, such as detailed anamnesis, application of standardized tests, and behavior analysis, covering different domains. The feedback, the final stage of the process, is essential for the understanding of the results and for adhering to the suggested procedures, and it should guide parents on practical implications, therapeutic and educational recommendations, and necessary referrals.

Institution: Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Escola de Ciências da Saúde e da Vida e Escola de Medicina; Instituto do Cérebro da PUCRS, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: danielle.costa@pucrs.br (D.I. da Costa).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2025.101470>

0021-7557/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Conclusions: NA represents an essential resource in pediatric practice, both for diagnostic support and for directing interventions and monitoring the progress of children with NDDs. Its early use can modify the prognosis and promote better functional, academic, and socioemotional outcomes.

© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

Neurodevelopment refers to the continuous process of anatomical and functional maturation of the nervous system (NS), which begins *in utero* and continues more intensely throughout the first years of life. This process involves fundamental stages, such as neuronal proliferation and migration, the formation of synaptic connections, myelination, and synaptic pruning, which enable the progressive acquisition of motor, cognitive, emotional, and social skills [1]. **Neuropsychological development**, on the other hand, results from the dynamic interaction between an individual's genetic heritage, other biological factors, and environmental stimuli, such as family, social, affective, and cultural factors. Thus, early experiences, both positive and adverse, can have a significant impact on brain organization and cognitive and behavioral functioning throughout life.

As a clinical and scientific resource, **neuropsychological assessment (NA)** is highly relevant for understanding the functioning of individuals suspected of having **neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs)**, providing essential information for identifying areas of strength and vulnerability, as well as possible comorbidities. Its main objective is to recognize patterns of functioning (cognitive-adaptive profile) that aid in diagnosis and estimate functional impact, enabling intervention planning and longitudinal monitoring, going beyond the mere description of symptoms and allowing a broader understanding of the patient's profile [2].

The assessment of NDDs should, whenever possible, be conducted by a multidisciplinary team, considering the complexity and variability of clinical manifestations. The integration of different areas, encompassing cognitive, emotional, behavioral, motor, and social aspects, favors not only a more accurate diagnosis but also the development of individualized and effective care/therapeutic strategies aligned with the specific needs of each case.

It is estimated that approximately 250 million children worldwide have some type of neurodevelopmental delay or disability [3]. International data indicate an increasing trend in the prevalence of neurodevelopmental and behavioral disorders within the broader context of disabilities. Among the most prevalent disorders, the following stand out:

- Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), with a recent estimate from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) indicating that one in 31 children up to age 8 has been diagnosed with the disorder; [4]
- Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), whose global prevalence is estimated at between 5 % and 8 %, according to the Brazilian Attention Deficit Association (ABDA); [5]

- Specific Learning Disorders (SLD), which affect between 5 % and 15 % of the global population, according to the American Psychiatric Association [6].

According to the World Health Organization [7], such estimates may be underestimated, considering the difficulties in early diagnosis, the high mortality rates in vulnerable populations, and the stigma associated with these conditions. These are, therefore, highly prevalent conditions whose impact on academic and psychosocial development poses significant challenges throughout the various stages of life. Early diagnosis, combined with appropriate interventions, is essential, as it has a decisive impact on the prognosis and quality of life of children and their families.

Neurodevelopmental disorders

NDDs constitute a group of clinical conditions that begin during the developmental period, usually in early childhood, and are characterized by deficits in cognitive, behavioral, social, and emotional functioning [6]. These disorders result from alterations in brain development and manifest early, with significant repercussions on the individual's academic, social, and occupational life.

Unlike other mental and behavioral disorders, such as schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, in neurodevelopmental disorders, cognitive and behavioral changes are central manifestations, not secondary or associated conditions [8].

It is important to note that an individual may present comorbidity between NDDs, suggesting the influence of shared genetic and environmental factors. Regarding the etiology, it is known to be complex and multifaceted and, in many cases, unknown.

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5-TR), in its most recent version in Brazil, includes the following NDDs:

- Intellectual Development Disorders
- Communication Disorders
- Autism Spectrum Disorder
- Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
- Specific Learning Disorder
- Motor Disorders

Neuropsychological assessment in the investigation of NDDs

NA is a structured clinical procedure that aims to understand the individual's cognitive, emotional, and behavioral functioning, using standardized tests, neuropsychological tasks, complementary instruments, as well as clinical observations

and interviews. There is no fixed battery that is applicable to all cases, since the choice of instruments should be guided by the specific demands of the assessment, the diagnostic hypotheses, and the objectives of the process. Nevertheless, the investigation of certain domains is recommended, such as intellectual functioning, attention and executive functions, memory, language, visuospatial and constructive skills, reading, writing, and math skills, as well as emotional and behavioral aspects (complementary to the cognitive profile) and adaptive functioning (AF). In pediatric practice, NA is used for different purposes:

- **Mapping the cognitive-adaptive profile, aiding in diagnosis**

It consists of describing the neuropsychological profile, identifying the presence or absence of cognitive dysfunctions, and the level of impact on the individual's life [9]. This information aids in diagnosis, as it provides complementary evidence to clinical and contextual data, in addition to guiding appropriate interventions. It would be like constructing a detailed portrait of how the child/adolescent learns, thinks, and adapts to daily life at that moment, allowing the identification of both strengths and vulnerabilities, which guides diagnosis and interventions.

To achieve this goal, various methods and techniques are employed, including interviews, behavioral observations, clinical scales, and the administration of standardized instruments and other neuropsychological tasks to investigate different domains, in addition to qualitative performance analysis [10]. In the case of individuals with NDDs, the diversity of clinical manifestations can make both the selection of the test battery and the interpretation of results an additional challenge [2]. The professional's expertise is essential to define the limits and applicability of each assessment method.

- **Identify the presence of comorbidities and clarify the differential diagnosis** (e.g., distinguish primary manifestations of ADHD from anxiety/sleep effects, etc.)

Neuropsychological assessment, by integrating data from interviews, observation, and the use of standardized instruments, allows us to distinguish primary manifestations of the disorder from those related to comorbidities, contributing to a more accurate diagnosis. Symptoms such as attention difficulties, school problems, or behavioral changes can often be present in different conditions, such as ADHD, SLD, ASD, or anxiety disorders.

- **Estimating functional impact and support needs**

NA is not limited to identifying cognitive deficits; it allows estimating the functional impact of changes observed in the daily life of children with NDD and identifying the most appropriate support needs. Through the integrated analysis of cognitive, emotional, and behavioral skills, combined with qualitative observation and family reports/other sources of information, it is possible to outline needs that will be the focus of intervention and/or educational and social adjustments, promoting the child's functionality and participation in different contexts.

Adaptive functioning (AF) refers to the individual's ability to apply their cognitive skills to daily life, encompassing practical, social, and conceptual aspects. Assessing these domains reveals how the individual copes with the demands of daily life. Domains assessed (according to DSM-5-TR and AAIDD [11]):

- (a) **Conceptual:** communication, language, academic skills, reasoning, and memory.
- (b) **Social:** interpersonal relationships, empathy, social skills, group participation.
- (c) **Practical:** autonomy, self-care, use of community resources, routine organization, safety.

- **Support the planning of interventions and the longitudinal monitoring, as well as guide the family and school**

The results of the assessment have direct implications for clinical and educational management. The information obtained guides individualized intervention programs, pedagogical strategies, and the training of parents and teachers to address the specific demands of each child. The assessment also serves as a baseline for monitoring the evolution of the condition over time and evaluating the effectiveness of the implemented interventions.

Stages of the assessment process

To assist in understanding this systematic and rigorous process, eight steps are highlighted, suggested by other authors [1] and here adapted to the Brazilian context, which must be integrated to record the information provided and appropriately interpret and communicate the results: [1]

- (1) **Attentive listening to the reason for seeking consultation:** This initial phase focuses on understanding the reported concerns and needs, considering the factors involved, the affected individuals, and the manifestations of the condition in the patient and their environment. It is an opportunity for parents/caregivers and children to feel heard and understood, creating a comfortable environment for the following steps. According to the Code of Professional Ethics for Psychologists (Art. 8) [12] and Federal Council of Psychology (Resolution No. 13/2022) [13], which consolidates standards and principles for the provision of psychological services to children and adolescents, conducting a neuropsychological assessment in children requires formal authorization from at least one legal guardian.
- (2) **Knowledge of the child's clinical and academic history, behavior and family background:** Includes personal data (name, date of birth, chronological age, school year, school, with whom the child lives), clinical history (neuropsychomotor development, chronic conditions, medical treatments and pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions), academic history (age of entry into school, performance, learning problems, failures, adaptation, changes of school), behavior (relevant traits, sociability, anxiety, mood, motivation, sleep disorders) and family history (home environment, age and level of education of parents and siblings, family history of NDDs). It is crucial to delve deeper into the child's AF at this stage [1].
- (3) **Analysis of complementary examinations and of additional health and educational records:** This stage

involves reviewing previous neuropsychological evaluations, reports from teachers and/or other professionals working with the child, and relevant medical examinations [1]. It also considers the presence of curricular adaptations, specialized educational service plans, tutoring interventions, or other relevant educational support strategies.

- (4) **Permanent clinical observation:** This includes the child's initial contact (visual, posture, communication), participation and engagement during the interview and testing/task completion, motivation, energy level, state of consciousness, attention, comprehension, and mood. It considers possible interferences such as changes in vigilance, attention, and energy due to lack of sleep and/or medication use, allowing for the optimal timing of the evaluation.
- (5) **Application of neuropsychological tests and neuropsychiatric scales:** These are highly relevant assessment tools. Tests/tasks and scales appropriate for the specific clinical case should be selected, with known psychometric properties. Standardizing these tests ensures the validity and reliability of the data.
- (6) **Emotional aspects assessment:** Although not essentially part of neuropsychological studies, it is recommended to include it, as emotional changes are a frequent comorbidity in NDDs and can interfere with cognitive processes [1]. Understanding socioemotional factors helps identify the origin of a certain behavior and inform the planning of more effective interventions. Neuropsychological repercussions in children can impact the school, family, and social environments, leading to interaction difficulties and influencing self-image. For assessment, the use of instruments such as depression and anxiety questionnaires, self-concept scales, and measures of socioemotional skills, among others, is recommended.
- (7) **Analysis of the child's results:** The child's performance, expressed in standardized scores for their chronological age or school year, is analyzed considering the reason for the consultation, clinical observations, diagnostic hypothesis, possible comorbidities, and the context in which the child develops. Qualitative analysis in NA is also important, as it complements the results of objective tests and helps to better understand the performance of the person being evaluated. Finally, a report is prepared that integrates all this information, providing a comprehensive view of the child's condition and the suggested actions based on the findings.
- (8) **Delivery of results to interested parties (feedback):** This includes legal guardians and the child themselves (considering their age, maturity, and level of understanding). Feedback can also be provided to members of the school system and to the health and education professionals involved, with written consent from the legal guardians. Even with authorization, the psychologist must ensure that the information shared is relevant, ethical, and to the extent necessary, preserving confidentiality and avoids undue exposure of the child.

Emphasis is placed on the analysis of the neuropsychological profile, its "translation" into school, home, and social life, and recommendations for necessary interventions and support. The feedback interview is a fundamental step in

the neuropsychological assessment, as it allows clear communication of findings, guides interventions, and supports families, ensuring engagement in the suggested behaviors and ethical monitoring of the child.

It is important to note that the neuropsychological profile is dynamic and can vary over time due to the maturation process and therapeutic and pedagogical efforts. Furthermore, most traditional tests are standardized on typically developing populations and may not consider crucial factors in patients with NDDs, such as fatigue, boredom, low self-esteem, difficulties with motor control, understanding instructions, and stress. Therefore, clinical judgment and the development of new tools are essential for a more accurate assessment.

Cognitive and behavioral assessment tools in NDDs

Among the main domains investigated are: intelligence, attention and executive functions, memory, oral language, reading and writing, visuospatial and visuoconstructive functions, as well as socioemotional skills, other behavioral aspects, and AF. As previously mentioned, there is no single battery capable of assessing all NDDs; the choice of instruments depends on the specific objective of the investigation, the individual's overall level of functioning, and medication use, among other factors. The examiner's expertise is crucial, as they have the autonomy to select the most appropriate instruments to answer the clinical questions presented.

The combined analysis of these elements allows the construction of a profile of strengths and weaknesses, essential for understanding each individual's uniqueness [14].

Table 1 [15–55] presents the main instruments currently used in neuropsychological practice in Brazil, indicating the investigated domains. It is noteworthy that, although each neuropsychological instrument preferentially assesses a specific construct, they involve different skills. Therefore, the examiner's experience is a key differentiator in integrating the obtained data, based on clinical reasoning, to guide the best course of action at the end of the investigative process [56]. It should also be noted that neuropsychological assessment is a complex process that goes beyond the mere application of tests and tasks/other scales, and always involves a series of steps, in addition to the integration of knowledge necessary for the clinical context (neuroanatomy, psychopathology, child development, influences of the socioeconomic context, psychometrics, among others).

For infants and young children, **specific developmental assessment scales** are generally used, as detailed in Table 2, [57–59] allowing the early identification of skills and possible delays in different domains of neuropsychomotor, cognitive, and socioemotional growth.

Applications in specific disorders (ADHD, ASD, and SLD)

In clinical practice, neuropsychological assessment has wide application in different NDDs. Generally speaking, in cases of ADHD, it can be said that it helps characterize the predominant type of symptoms and their functional impact. SLD allows us to identify which reading, writing, or math skills

Table 1 Neuropsychological Tests and Tasks and Instruments for Behavioral Assessment in NDDs.

DOMAIN	INSTRUMENT	BRIEF DESCRIPTION
Intelligence	WECHSLER INTELLIGENCE SCALE FOR CHILDREN - WISC-IV(16)	<p>This is a standardized psychological test designed to assess the intellectual performance of children and adolescents. It provides the overall Intelligence Quotient (IQ) as well as four main indices:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Verbal Comprehension (VC) • Working Memory (WM) • Perceptual Organization (PO) • Processing Speed (PS) <p>The instrument identifies strengths and areas of vulnerability and is widely used in clinical, educational, and neuropsychological settings, including the diagnosis of neurodevelopmental disorders. It is recommended for children and adolescents aged 6 to 16 years and 11 months.</p>
	WECHSLER ABBREVIATED SCALE OF INTELLIGENCE -WASI(15)	<p>It is an instrument developed to meet the need for a rapid assessment of intelligence, presenting validity and accuracy suitable for use in clinical and research contexts. It provides scores of Verbal IQ, Performance IQ, and Total IQ. The scale is composed of four subtests – Vocabulary, Cubes, Similarities and Matrix Reasoning – and allows a brief estimate of general cognitive functioning, both verbal and non-verbal.</p> <p>It is indicated for individuals aged 6 to 89 years old.</p>
	SNIJDERS-OOMEN NON-VERBAL INTELLIGENCE TEST -SON-R 2 ¹ / ₂ –7[a](17)	<p>It consists of a non-verbal intelligence test that assesses general cognitive development and abilities, focusing on spatial, visual-motor and reasoning abilities. Because it doesn't require language, it's recommended for children with communication difficulties, such as deafness and neurodevelopmental disorders, and is also useful for immigrants. It can be applied in clinical, educational, and neuropsychological settings. Suitable for children from 2 years and 6 months to 7 years and 11 months old.</p>
	RAVEN'S COLORED PROGRESSIVE MATRICES(18) - CPM	<p>It is considered the gold standard for assessing general intelligence (g Factor), an educational skill linked to the ability to generate new insights in nonverbal situations. Quick and engaging to administer, with colorful stimuli and minimal reading requirements, it is recommended for children with language difficulties, physical disabilities, aphasia, cerebral palsy, deafness, intellectual impairment, and NDDs. It can be used in clinical, educational, and research settings. Suitable for children between 5 and 11 years and 11 months old.</p>
Attention and Executive Functions	FIVE DIGITS TEST – FDT(19)	<p>It is a brief instrument that assesses processing speed, attention, and executive functions (inhibitory control and cognitive flexibility).</p> <p>It consists of four parts: Reading and Counting (automatic and simple processes), and Choosing and Switching (more complex processes that require active mental control). Recommended for children from 6 years of age to the elderly.</p>
	PSYCHOLOGICAL BATTERY FOR ATTENTION ASSESSMENT-2 (BPA-2)(20)	<p>Its purpose is to measure overall attention span, as well as assess specific types of attention: concentrated, divided, and alternating attention.</p> <p>Indicated for people aged 6 to 94.</p>
	TRAIL MAKING TEST FOR PRESCHOOLERS(21)	<p>The Trail Making Test for Preschoolers aims to assess cognitive flexibility, i.e., the ability to switch between different tasks or rules efficiently. The test is administered briefly, allowing a quick analysis of the child's performance on tasks that require attention, planning, and adaptation to change. It is especially useful in neuropsychological assessment and cognitive development contexts, providing information on early executive skills and helping to identify possible cognitive or attention difficulties.</p> <p>Indicated for children aged 4 to 6.</p>

Table 1 (Continued)

DOMAIN	INSTRUMENT	BRIEF DESCRIPTION
Memory	WISCONSIN CARD SORTING TEST - WCST(22)	The WCST assesses executive functions , including strategic planning, organization, impulse control, and adaptation to environmental changes. It measures the ability to develop strategies to solve problems and achieve goals and is useful for identifying cognitive impairments and neurological changes related to the frontal region of the brain . Indicated for children aged 6 years and 6 months to 89 years and 11 months .
	VISUAL ATTENTION TEST – 4TH EDITION (TAVIS-4)(23)	The TAVIS-4 is a computerized test that assesses attentional functions in children and adolescents. It measures selective, sustained, and alternating attention through visual tasks. The main performance indicators are average reaction time, errors of omission, and errors of action . The administration is individual and lasts between 12 and 20 min, depending on age. Brazilian standards are available, allowing interpretation of performance in relation to age and gender. Indicated for children and adolescents aged 6 to 17 .
	STROOP (VICTORIA VERSION) (24)	The Stroop Test assesses selective attention and processing speed , assessing an individual's ability to classify information from the environment and respond in a controlled manner to conflicting stimuli. Indicated for individuals aged 7 to 10 (standards of the cited study).
	VERBAL FLUENCY - VF (25)	VF tests were initially introduced to assess frontal lobe lesions in adult patients, and their study was later extended to the neuropsychological evaluation of children and adolescents. They are widely used in neuropsychological assessments because they are quick and easy to administer and involve the individual recalling words for a specific period, typically 60 s. In clinical practice, the most commonly used VF tests are Semantic Verbal Fluency (SVF) and Phonemic Verbal Fluency (PVF) . In Brazil, there are studies for preschoolers, school-age children, as well as adolescents, adults and the elderly.
	REY AUDITORY VERBAL LEARNING TEST - RAVLT(26)	The RAVLT assesses episodic declarative memory , providing measures of verbal learning, retention, recognition, and resistance to interference . It allows the development of a verbal learning profile and the analysis of memory encoding, storage, and retrieval processes, making it a relevant tool for neuropsychological diagnosis and assessment . Indicated for individuals aged 6 to 92 .
Language	COMPLEX FIGURES OF REY: COPYING AND MEMORY REPRODUCTION TEST OF COMPLEX GEOMETRIC FIGURES(27)	Rey Complex Figures assess visual perception and immediate memory through two phases: memory copying and memory reproduction . The test investigates how individuals grasp visual information and what they can retain spontaneously. Indicated for children ages 4 and older (standards in Brazil are being updated).
	CHILDREN'S ORAL NARRATIVE DISCOURSE (DNOI)(28)	The DNOI is a structured task that assesses children's ability to produce oral narratives. Performance is analyzed based on criteria such as fluency, temporal organization, and use of connectives. This instrument is useful for identifying difficulties in children with language and learning disorders. Recommended for children aged 6 to 12 .
	CHILD LANGUAGE TEST - ABFW(29)	The ABFW is a language test comprised of four tasks (Phonology, Vocabulary, Fluency, and Pragmatics), used both in initial speech-language pathology assessments and in monitoring child development . Adapted to the Brazilian linguistic and cultural context , it offers psychometric reliability and accuracy , making it an evidence-based tool for professional practice. Indicated for children aged 2 to 12 .

Table 1 (Continued)

DOMAIN	INSTRUMENT	BRIEF DESCRIPTION
Reading and Writing	PEABODY PICTURE VOCABULARY TEST - PPVT(30)	The receptive vocabulary assessment measures the ability to understand words, providing useful information about language development throughout life. It allows the identification of strengths and weaknesses in the semantic domain (word knowledge), aiding in the overall analysis of language skills and the planning of appropriate interventions. Indicated for children aged 2 years and older .
	SCHOOL PERFORMANCE TEST II - TDE-II(31)	The TDE II assesses learning in reading, writing, and mathematics throughout the nine years of elementary school , and is useful in contexts of both typical and atypical development . It allows the mapping of academic skills and the selection of more effective intervention strategies in clinical and educational settings. It is recommended for students in grades 1 to 9 , which corresponds, on average, to the age range of 6 to 14 years .
	READING PROCESS ASSESSMENT TESTS -PROLEC(32)	It is a comprehensive instrument for assessing reading and textual comprehension in children , widely used in clinical and school settings in Brazil. It is recommended for children aged 6 to 12 , covering the 2nd to 5th grade of elementary school.
	CONFIAS(33)	CONFIAS It is a test that assesses phonological awareness comprehensively and sequentially, covering skills such as syllables, rhymes, alliteration, intrasyllabic units, and phonemes . It consists of tasks involving synthesis, segmentation, identification, production, exclusion, and syllabic and phonemic transposition , divided into two parts: syllable awareness and phoneme awareness . The instrument is recommended for children who are illiterate or in the process of learning to read , as well as for working with learning difficulties or disorders . Suitable for children ages 4 and older .
	NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF READING AND WRITING - ANELE (34–38)	ANELE (Neuropsychological Assessment of Reading and Writing) is a collection of psychometric instruments developed to assess reading and writing skills in children, adolescents, and adults, based on theoretical models of cognitive neuropsychology and psycholinguistics. The collection consists of five volumes, each focusing on specific aspects of reading and writing.
Visuospatial and Visuoconstruction Functions	FREE DRAWING TESTS OR COPYING OF GEOMETRIC SHAPES (16,39) BENTON VISUAL RETENTION TEST - BVRT(40)	In clinical and neuropsychological contexts, they allow qualitative assessment of visuoconstruction. In Brazil, the Benton is used both in a standardized and qualitative way , especially when it comes to understanding visual-constructive and visual memory profiles . It is normally applied to children aged 7 and older , adolescents, and adults, but adaptations are available for younger age groups.
Behavior, socioemotional aspects and neuropsychiatric manifestations*	SOCIAL RESPONSIVENESS SCALE – 2ND EDITION (SRS-2)(41)	It identifies a wide range of impairments in reciprocal social behavior (from absent to severe) based on observation of an individual's behavior in naturalistic social contexts and classifies them as mild, moderate, or severe. The SRS-2 (Brazilian version) can be administered to preschool children aged 2.5 to 4.5 years , schoolchildren aged 4 to 18 years , and adults aged 18 years and older , allowing the assessment of social responsiveness across different age groups.
	MODIFIED CHECKLIST FOR AUTISM IN TODDLERS, REVISED WITH FOLLOW-UP - M-CHAT-R/F(42)	O M-CHAT-R/F is a two-stage, parent-reported screening tool for assessing the risk of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) in children aged 16 to 30 months . The first stage consists of 20 "yes" or "no" questions, and the second stage is a follow-up interview to clarify ambiguous or moderate-risk responses.
	CHILDHOOD AUTISM RATING SCALE (CARS)(43)	It consists of 15 items, each rated on a scale of 1 to 4, that reflect typical autistic behaviors. Its administration involves direct observation of the child and input from caregivers. It is recommended for children aged 2 and older to identify the presence and severity of ASD symptoms in this age group. In the Brazilian study cited (CARS-BR), the age of the participants ranged from 3 to 17 years.

Table 1 (Continued)

DOMAIN	INSTRUMENT	BRIEF DESCRIPTION
Adaptation and Functionality	AUTISM DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW-REVISED (ADI-R)(44)	It is a structured interview instrument for parents or caregivers, used to aid in the diagnosis of ASD . Suitable for children and adolescents aged 2 and older .
	AUTISM DIAGNOSTIC OBSERVATION SCHEDULE - ADOS (45)	Structured interview and observation activities that assess communication, social interaction, play/imagination, and restricted and repetitive behaviors. It requires formal training to administer, usually by psychologists, psychiatrists, or pediatric neurologists. It complements interviews with family members (such as the ADI-R) and aids in the diagnostic confirmation of ASD, treatment planning, and research. Used for children from 18 months to adulthood, with five modules that adapt to the individual's language ability and age.
	EVALUATION PROTOCOL FOR CHILDREN WITH SUSPECTED AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS – REVISED VERSION (PROTEA-R)(46)	This instrument was developed in Brazil to screen for early signs of ASD . It is recommended for children aged approximately 2 to 5 years , focusing on nonverbal children or those with limited communication skills. The instrument is particularly useful for identifying early signs of ASD and other communication disorders, enabling early intervention. It is widely used by professionals such as psychologists, speech-language pathologists, occupational therapists, and physicians, and is applicable in clinical, educational, and research settings.
	CHILD BEHAVIOR CHECKLIST - CBCL(47)	CBCL It is a reliable instrument for assessing behavioral and socio-emotional functioning in children and adolescents, widely used in clinical and academic settings. It allows for monitoring changes in behavior over time. In the Brazilian version, it is intended for children and adolescents aged 6 to 18 , covering the entire elementary and high school period.
	SNAP-IV(48)	This questionnaire is widely used to assess symptoms of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) in children and adolescents. It can be completed by parents and teachers , allowing observation of the individual's behaviors in different contexts , such as home and school. In addition to aiding in screening and diagnosis , the instrument is useful for monitoring changes over time and supporting the planning of educational and clinical interventions .
	ATTENTION DEFICIT/HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER SCALE IN SCHOOL CONTEXT – VERSION FOR TEACHERS - ETDAH-II(49)	Indicated for children and adolescents aged 6 to 18. The ETDAH-II is a teacher-administered instrument used to identify ADHD symptoms in the school setting. It assesses attention, hyperactivity/impulsivity, and potential impairments in academic performance, school skills, and social functioning , contributing to monitoring and intervention planning.
	SCALE FOR ASSESSING CHILDREN'S BEHAVIORS IN ATTENTION DEFICIT/HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER IN THE FAMILY ENVIRONMENT – VERSION FOR PARENTS ETDAH (50)	Indicated for children and adolescents between 6 and 18 years of age. The ETDAH-PARENTS is an instrument administered to parents to assess the behavior of children and adolescents in the home environment. It identifies symptoms of attention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity , as well as emotional regulation and adaptive behavior .
	VINELAND-3 ADAPTIVE SCALE (51)	Indicated for children and adolescents between 2 and 17 years of age. Vineland-3 is a tool used to assess the adaptive behavior of individuals from birth. It consists of a semi-structured interview in a questionnaire format, allowing the assessment of the adaptive behavior of children, adolescents, young adults, and adults through information provided by third parties, such as parents, caregivers, and teachers. The main domains assessed include Communication, Daily Skills, Socialization, Motor Skills, and Maladaptive Behaviors.
	ADAPTIVE FUNCTIONING SCALE (EFA)(52)	The Adaptive Functioning Scale (EFA) is an assessment instrument aimed at children and adolescents between the ages of 6 and 15 . It is a Brazilian instrument developed based on normative studies

Table 1 (Continued)

DOMAIN	INSTRUMENT	BRIEF DESCRIPTION
Neuropsychological Batteries	ADAPTIVE BEHAVIOR ASSESSMENT SCALE – THIRD EDITION (ABAS-3)(53)	covering all regions of the country. It assesses the three domains of Adaptive Functioning, according to DSM-5 criteria, allowing an accurate and reliable analysis. ABAS-3 was translated and culturally adapted into Brazilian Portuguese to assess the adaptive skills of individuals aged 0 to 89 . The scale covers three main domains: conceptual, social, and practical, and consists of forms intended for parents, teachers, and professionals.
	BRIEF NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT NEUPSILIN-INF(54)	NEUPSILIN is a Brazilian neuropsychological battery intended for children aged 6 to 12 , designed to assess cognitive functions such as attention, memory, language, executive functions, visuospatial skills and praxis .
	NEPSY-II(55)	NEPSY-II is a neuropsychological battery for children aged 3 to 16 , designed to assess cognitive functions essential for child development. Its domains include attention and executive functions, language, memory and learning, social perception, sensorimotor functions, and visuospatial processing . Each domain has specific subtests that allow an assessment of cognitive abilities, being useful for diagnosis, intervention, and developmental monitoring.

* Some instruments are specific to certain neurodevelopmental disorders, while others have cross-sectional application and can be used in different conditions to assess cognitive, behavioral, or socioemotional domains.

are most compromised, guiding targeted pedagogical interventions. In ASD, it helps to map preserved cognitive skills and associated deficits, aiding in the definition of teaching and support strategies. Thus, the discussion focused on specific conditions, presented later, considering that they represent frequent assessment demands.

Neuropsychological assessment in ADHD: points of attention for clinical practice

The diagnosis of ADHD is essentially clinical, based on DSM-5-TR and/or ICD-11 criteria and information obtained from multiple sources. Although the NA is not mandatory, international guidelines, such as those of the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), recommend its use in specific situations, such as in cases of diagnostic uncertainty, the presence of comorbidities, or the need for detailed characterization of the cognitive and functional profile [60].

Thus, the NA plays a relevant role in ADHD, contributing to the understanding of the different manifestations of the

disorder, characterizing severity and prognosis, and identifying comorbidities, as mentioned above. Structured or semi-structured interviews, symptom screening scales, and other instruments that aid in the identification of psychiatric symptoms can be used for this purpose, in addition to instruments for cognitive and behavioral assessment (such as those described in Table 1), clinical observations, and informant reports. The exam is also useful in defining cognitive therapeutic targets, which can be addressed through both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions [61].

It is important to emphasize that **there is no universal assessment protocol for ADHD**: it should be planned based on the patient's history, considering age, potential comorbidities, medication use and their effects, as well as an estimate of overall cognitive level (61). Therefore, the neuropsychologist must be familiar with theoretical models of cognitive functioning, remembering that assessment goes far beyond the administration of tests and tasks. The analysis of results should always consider environmental, contextual, and cultural factors.

Table 2 Scales for assessing child development.

Development assessment	DIMENSIONAL INVENTORY FOR ASSESSING CHILD DEVELOPMENT - IDADI AND IDADI- BRIEF(57)	It assesses the seven domains of child development: cognitive, socioemotional, receptive and expressive language, gross and fine motor skills, and adaptive behavior. Parents of children aged 4 to 72 months .
	DEVELOPMENTAL SCREENING TEST - DENVER II(58)	It also provides information for planning interventions. It assesses developmental progress across four domains: personal-social, fine-motor-adaptive, gross-motor, and language. Indicated for children ages 0 to 6 .
	BAYLEY SCALES OF INFANT AND TODDLER DEVELOPMENT, THIRD EDITION - BAYLEY III(59)	It identifies developmental delays across five domains: cognitive, linguistic, motor, socio-emotional, and adaptive behavior. Indicated for infants aged 1 to 42 months .

Although there is heterogeneity, some patterns are frequently observed in children with ADHD:

- **Attention:** impaired sustained and selective attention, with increased vulnerability to distraction.
- **Executive functions:** difficulties with response inhibition, planning, decision-making, cognitive flexibility, and performance monitoring.
- **Working memory:** deficits in retaining and manipulating information, affecting reasoning and learning.
- **Processing speed:** slowing down in tasks that require speed and precision (often as a means of achieving greater accuracy in performance).
- **Inhibitory control:** difficulties in inhibiting automatic/impulsive responses.
- **Emotional regulation:** difficulties in modulating emotions, often related to low frustration tolerance.
- **Cognitive and Behavioral Self-Regulation:** Persistence, mental effort, monitoring performance and time.

It is important to emphasize, however, that caution is needed when interpreting the results, as a significant portion of patients with ADHD may perform within normal limits on traditional neuropsychological tests [61]. The opposite is also true; excessive agitation may be associated with, for example, psychomotor overexcitability in high ability /giftedness (HA/G), anxiety, and other disorders, and is not “synonymous” with ADHD with a predominantly hyperactive/impulsive presentation.

Neuropsychological assessment in ASD: points of attention for clinical practice

Due to the complexity and heterogeneity of the neuropsychological profile of ASD, the term “spectrum” reflects the wide variability of cognitive, behavioral, and adaptive manifestations among individuals. Each person may show distinct combinations

of abilities and challenges, which require a comprehensive and individualized assessment, covering domains such as attention, memory, executive functions, language, visuospatial and socio-emotional skills, sensory processing, and emotional regulation. In this context, the use of standardized and targeted scales (suggested in Table 1) can optimize assessment time and provide objective data on characteristics essential for diagnosis, enabling the identification of behavioral and cognitive patterns typical of ASD, but always in conjunction with clinical practice (instruments are part of the process, but clinical judgment always prevails). Integrating data from family members, teachers, and others (multiple sources/different contexts) and clinical observations allows not only the identification of deficits but also the recognition of specific strengths and talents, providing support for the planning of personalized interventions aimed at maximizing functional potential and promoting quality of life.

At the end of the assessment, suggested actions should include referrals to appropriate services and interventions (and therapeutic options), as well as guidance to the family on the diagnosis, legal rights, and educational and social resources, aiming to support the individual’s development, inclusion, and quality of life.

In the ASD NA, the authors emphasize 10 points that deserve special attention to ensure the assessment is clinical, ethical, and effective (illustrated in Table 3).

Neuropsychological assessment in SLD: points of attention for clinical practice

SLDs, which include dyslexia, dyscalculia, and dysgraphia, are characterized by significant and persistent impairments in specific academic skills that cannot be explained by sensory or intellectual deficits, or lack of schooling. The literature shows that these disorders are often associated with deficits in core neuropsychological functions, such as phonological awareness, working memory, sustained and selective attention, processing speed, receptive and expressive

Table 3 10 points of attention for neuropsychological assessment in ASD.

#	Point of Attention for clinical practice	Description
1	Level of global development	Consider chronological age, cognitive level and adaptive profile
2	Associated comorbidities	ADHD, anxiety, epilepsy, intellectual disability, among others
3	Language skills	Adaptations for verbal and nonverbal communication difficulties
4	Flexibility and restricted interest	Be aware of how reduced flexibility and restricted interests can impact task engagement and choice of instrument.
5	Sensory sensitivity	Avoid auditory, visual or tactile overload during the exam
6	Motivation and engagement	Use of reinforcers, breaks, and adjusting session length: In the assessment of children with ASD, reinforcers are used to maintain attention, motivation, and reduce anxiety during tasks. They can be social (praise), tangible (toys, stickers), sensory (sounds, textures), or preferred activities. They should be individualized and planned so as not to interfere with the results. The evaluator monitors their effect to ensure that performance reflects actual abilities.
7	Validity of instruments	Prioritize tests adapted and validated for the Brazilian population
8	Complementary methods	Integrate scales, inventories, clinical interviews, and parent/teacher reports
9	Application context	Structured, predictable, low-stimulus environment
10	Interpretation of results	Consider the heterogeneity of the spectrum and do not restrict the assessment to deficits

language, in addition to executive functions such as inhibition and cognitive flexibility. Another clinical indicator is avoidance of activities that require academic skills.

Children with dyslexia may demonstrate significant impairments in verbal working memory and phonological processing, while those with dyscalculia have more marked impairments in visuospatial working memory and the mental representation of quantity [62]. Transdiagnostic studies point to three essential cognitive pillars—phonological processing, processing speed, and executive functions—that significantly explain academic difficulties in reading and mathematics, regardless of the presence of ADHD [63].

Neuropsychological assessment plays an essential role in identifying and characterizing these impairments, using instruments that accurately assess the relevant cognitive domains. In addition to quantitative analysis of scores, the assessment also relies on qualitative data, such as compensatory strategies, error type, and performance pattern, which are essential for the differential diagnosis between disorders and secondary school difficulties. Studies show that children with SLD perform worse on memory tasks, executive functions, and perceptual-motor skills when compared to peers with typical development, reinforcing the importance of a comprehensive assessment that is sensitive to the child's neurocognitive profile [64].

The interpretation should also consider contextual factors, schooling/access to stimulation, and socioemotional aspects. Collaboration with speech-language pathologists, educational psychologists, and teachers is essential for an effective, evidence-based treatment plan.

Conclusion

NA plays a central role in the investigation of NDDs, allowing not only a better diagnostic definition but also providing concrete support for individualized interventions and the building of support networks between family, school, and health services. Given the inherent complexity of these conditions, the need for a rigorous, multifaceted, and culturally sensitive approach is highlighted, integrating standardized psychometric instruments, qualitative observations, and the work of multidisciplinary teams as key elements for an accurate diagnosis and an effective intervention plan. Furthermore, constant updating of international classifications and validation of instruments for the Brazilian population are essential steps toward improving the quality of care for individuals with NDDs.

Early diagnosis is essential to minimize the impact on a child's overall development. Although each disorder has specific clinical manifestations, many share comorbidities and symptomatic overlap, requiring an integrated approach to assessment and treatment. Furthermore, the recognition of neurological diversity has fostered significant advances in how these disorders are understood, treated, and included in society.

However, it is crucial to recognize existing limitations. Available instruments are not always sufficiently sensitive to the cultural and socioeconomic specificities of the Brazilian population, requiring professionals to carefully analyze and contextualize results when interpreting them. This is compounded by the challenge of access, as many families still

face significant barriers to accessing specialized services. Despite these challenges, future prospects are promising. Technological advances, such as the application of computerized tests and the use of artificial intelligence, tend to increase the accuracy and accessibility of assessments. Integration with neuroimaging and genetic data may also offer a more comprehensive understanding of NDDs.

Financial support

None declared.

Research data availability

The full dataset supporting the findings of this study is available upon request to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Editor

R. Soibelmann Procianoy

References

1. Pérez-Jara C, Ruíz Y. Evaluación neuropsicológica en niños con trastornos del neurodesarrollo. *Rev Med Clin Las Condes*. 2022;33(5):502–11.
2. Braconnier ML, Siper PM. Neuropsychological Assessment in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2021;23(10):63.
3. EARLY. Early Childhood Development: the promise, the problem, and the path forward [Internet] [cited 2025 Aug 30]. Available from: <https://earlychildhooddevelopment.org>; 2018.
4. Shaw KA, Williams S, Patrick ME, Valencia-Prado M, Durkin MS, Howerton EM, et al. Prevalence and Early Identification of Autism Spectrum Disorder Among Children Aged 4 and 8 Years – Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 16 Sites, United States, 2022. *MMWR Surveillance Summaries*. 2025;74(SS–2):1–22.
5. Associação Brasileira do Déficit de Atenção (ABDA). Transtorno de Déficit de Atenção com Hiperatividade - TDAH [Internet] [cited 2025 Aug 30]. Available from: <https://tdah.org.br>; 2025.
6. American Psychiatric Association (APA). Manual diagnóstico e estatístico de transtornos mentais: dsm-5-tr. 5th ed. Porto Alegre: Artmed; 2023.
7. World Health Organization (WHO). World report on disability. Geneva: WHO; 2011.
8. Zanon RB, Backes B, Wagner F, Silva MA. Técnicas de avaliação em caso de suspeitas de transtornos do neurodesenvolvimento. In: Oliveira SE, Trentini CM, eds. *Avanços em psicopatologia, avaliação e diagnóstico baseado na CID-11*. Porto Alegre: Artmed; 2023:76–120.
9. Batista NN, Souza VM, Rosa RB, Nakano TC, Lima RF. Avaliação neuropsicológica das altas habilidades/superdotação em um projeto de extensão: estudo de caso. *Rev Psicopedagogia*. 2025;42(127):20–34.
10. Malloy-Diniz LF, Mattos P, Abreu N, Fuentes D. Neuropsicologia: aplicações clínicas. Porto Alegre: Artmed; 2016.

11. American Association on Intellectual, Disabilities D. Intellectual disability: definition, diagnosis, classification, and systems of supports. 12th ed. Washington, DC: AAIDD; 2021.
12. Conselho Federal de Psicologia (Brasil). Código de Ética profissional do psicólogo. Brasília: CFP; 2005.
13. Conselho Federal de Psicologia (Brasil). Resolução cfp nº 13, de 14 de junho de 2022. Brasília: CFP; 2022, Consolida normas e princípios para a prestação de serviços psicológicos a crianças e adolescentes.
14. Oh MY. Psychological assessment in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Hanyang Med Rev.* 2016;36(1):72–7.
15. Wechsler DI, Trentini CM, Yates DB, Heck VS. Escala wechsler abreviada de inteligência – WASI: manual. São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo; 2014.
16. Wechsler D. Escala de inteligência wechsler para crianças: WISC-IV. manual de instruções para aplicação e avaliação. 4th ed. São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo; 2013.
17. Laros JA, Tellegen PJ, Jesus GR, Karino CA. SON-R 2¹/₂-7[a] – teste não-verbal de inteligência: manual e relatório de pesquisa. São Paulo: Hogrefe | CETEPP; 2016.
18. Raven J, Raven JC, Court JH. Matrizes progressivas coloridas de raven: manual. São Paulo: Pearson Clinical Brasil; 2018.
19. Sedó M, Paula JJ, Malloy-Diniz LF. O teste dos cinco dígitos, FDT – Five Digit Test. São Paulo: Hogrefe; 2015.
20. Rueda FJ. Bateria psicológica para avaliação da atenção (BPA). São Paulo: Votor Editora; 2013.
21. Trevisan BT, Seabra AG. Teste de Trilhas para pré-escolares. In: Seabra AG, Dias NM, eds. Avaliação neuropsicológica cognitiva: atenção e funções executivas, São Paulo: Memnon; 2012: 92–100.
22. Oliveira MS, Trentini CM, Argimon II, Rigoni MS, Filho J. Manual do teste wisconsin de classificação de cartas. São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo; 2005.
23. Mattos P. Teste de atenção visual – (TAVIS-4). 4th ed. São Paulo: Hogrefe CETEPP; 2019.
24. Charchat-Fichman H, Oliveira RM. Performance of 119 Brazilian children on Stroop paradigm-Victoria version. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr.* 2009;67(2B):445–9.
25. Moura O, Simões MR, Pereira M. Fluência verbal semântica e fonêmica em crianças: funções cognitivas e análise temporal. *Aval Psicol.* 2013;12(2):167–77.
26. Malloy-Diniz LF, de Paula JJ. Teste de aprendizagem auditivo-verbal de rey. São Paulo: Vetor; 2018.
27. Oliveira MS, Rigoni MS. Figuras Complexas de Rey: teste de cópia e de reprodução de memória de figuras geométricas complexas. São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo; 2010.
28. Prando ML, Fonseca RP, Zimmermann N. Discurso narrativo oral infantil (DNOI) editor. In: Fonseca RP, ed. Avaliação de linguagem e funções executivas em crianças, São Paulo: Memnon; 2016:123–45.
29. Andrade CR, Befi-Lopes DM, Fernandes FD, Wertzner HF. ABFW: teste de linguagem infantil. São Paulo: Pró-Fono; 2004.
30. Dunn LM, Dunn DM. Peabody picture vocabulary test, 5th edition (PPVT-5). Bloomington (MN): NCS Pearson; 2019.
31. Stein LM, Giacomoni CH, Fonseca RP. Teste de desempenho escolar ii – tde ii. São Paulo: Vetor; 2019.
32. Capellini SA, Oliveira AM, Cuetos F. PROLEC – provas de avaliação dos processos de leitura: manual. 3rd edition. São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo; 2014.
33. Moojen S, Lamprecht R, Santos RM, Freitas GM, Brodacz R, Siqueira M, et al. Consciência fonológica: instrumento de avaliação sequencial (CONFIAS). São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo; 2003.
34. Capellini SA, Oliveira AM, Cuetos F. ANELE 1: avaliação da leitura de palavras e pseudopalavras isoladas (LPI). São Paulo: Vetor; 2017.
35. Corso HV, Piccolo LR, Miná CS, Salles JF. ANELE 2: avaliação da compreensão de leitura textual (COMTEXT). São Paulo: Vetor; 2017.
36. Corso HV, Piccolo LR, Miná CS, Salles JF. ANELE 3: tarefa de escrita de palavras e pseudopalavras (TEPP). São Paulo: Vetor; 2017.
37. Corso HV, Piccolo LR, Miná CS, Salles JF. ANELE 4: tarefa de leitura de palavras e pseudopalavras (TLPP). São Paulo: Vetor; 2017.
38. Corso HV, Piccolo LR, Miná CS, Salles JF. ANELE 5: avaliação da fluência de leitura textual (AFLeT). São Paulo: Vetor; 2017.
39. Wechsler SM, Nakano TC. O desenho infantil: forma de expressão cognitiva, criativa e emocional. São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo; 2012.
40. Guilherme AK, Nascimento E, Côrtes LE. Benton visual retention test – adaptação brasileira. São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo; 2006.
41. Borges L. Escala de responsividade social (SRS-2). 2nd ed. São Paulo: Hogrefe CETEPP; 2020.
42. Losapio MF, Siquara GM, Lampreia C, Lázaro CP, Pondé MP. Tradução para o português e validação da escala Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers, Revised with Follow-Up para rastreamento precoce de transtorno do espectro do autismo. *Rev Psiquiatr Pediatr.* 2022;42(2):e238467.
43. Pereira A, Riesgo RS, Wagner MB. Autismo infantil: tradução e validação da Childhood Autism Rating Scale para uso no Brasil. *J Pediatr (Rio J).* 2008;84(6):487–94.
44. Becker MM, Wagner MB, Riesgo RS. Tradução e validação da entrevista Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R) para diagnóstico de autismo no Brasil. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr.* 2012;70(6):425–31.
45. Pacífico MC, de Paula CS, Namur VS, Lowenthal R, Bosa CA, Teixeira MC. Preliminary evidence of the validity process of the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS): translation, cross-cultural adaptation and semantic equivalence of the Brazilian Portuguese version. *Trends Psychiatry Psychother.* 2019;41(3):218–26.
46. Bosa CA, de Salles J. Sistema protea-r de avaliação do transtorno do espectro autista. São Paulo: Vetor Editora; 2018.
47. Bordin IA, Mari JJ, Caeiro MF, Duarte CS. Child behavior checklist (CBCL/6–18): manual para aplicação, pontuação e interpretação – versão brasileira. Rio de Janeiro: Casa do Psicólogo; 2013.
48. Mattos P, Serra-Pinheiro MA, Rohde LA, Pinto D. Apresentação de uma versão em português para uso no Brasil do instrumento MTA-SNAP-IV de avaliação de sintomas de transtorno do déficit de atenção/hiperatividade e sintomas de transtorno desafiador e de oposição. *Rev Psiquiatr RS.* 2006;28(3):290–7.
49. Benczik EB. Escala do transtorno de déficit de atenção /Hiperatividade em contexto escolar – versão para professores (ETDAH II). 2nd ed. São Paulo: Pearson; 2021.
50. Benczik EB. Escala de avaliação de comportamentos infantojuvenis no transtorno de déficit de atenção /Hiperatividade em ambiente familiar – versão para pais (ETDAH-PAIS). São Paulo: Memnon; 2018.
51. Edde AL, Zugman C, Vitta I, Neves AC, Cussolim KO. Vineland-3: escalas de comportamento adaptativo – adaptação brasileira. São Paulo: Casapsi Livraria e Editora; 2019.
52. Selalu T, Silva MA, Bandeira DR. Escala de funcionamento adaptativo (EFA). São Paulo: Vetor; 2025.
53. Mecca TP, Tafla TL, Bueno FM, Valentini F, Bassetto SA, Teixeira MC. Adaptação transcultural do Adaptive Behavior Assessment System (ABAS-3) para o português do Brasil. *Int J Dev Disabil.* 2022;70(4):684–95.
54. Salles JF, Parente MA, Fonseca RP. Instrumento de avaliação neuropsicológica breve NEUPSILIN-Inf. São Paulo: Vetor; 2016.
55. Argollo N, Bueno OF, Shayer B, Godinho K, Abreu K, Durán P, et al. Adaptação transcultural da Bateria NEPSY: avaliação neuropsicológica do desenvolvimento – estudo-piloto. *Aval Psicol.* 2009;8(1):59–75.

56. Costa DI, Segabinazi JD, Souza RV, Rigoni MS, Maitelli SE. Neurodesenvolvimento típico: conceitos e testagem. In: Lahorgue MN, Gadia C, eds. *Proneuroped programa de atualização em neuropsiquiatria: ciclo 1*, Porto Alegre: Artmed Panamericana; 2025.
57. Silva MA, Filho EJ, Bandeira DR. *Inventário dimensional de avaliação do desenvolvimento infantil – idade*. 1st ed. São Paulo: Vetor; 2020.
58. Frankenburg WK, Dodds J, Archer P, Bresnick B, Maschka P, Edelman N, et al. *DENVER II: teste de triagem do desenvolvimento: manual técnico*. tradução de ana llonch sabatés. São Paulo: Hogrefe; 2018.
59. Bayley N. *Escala bayley de desenvolvimento do bebê e da criança pequena, terceira edição – bayley III: manual técnico*. São Paulo: Pearson Clinical Brasil; 2018.
60. Wolraich ML, Hagan JF, Jr Allan C, Chan E, Davison D, Earls M, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(4):e20192528. Erratum in: *Pediatrics*. 2020;145(3):e20193997.
61. Diniz LM, Costa DS, Miranda DM, Mattos P. Transtorno de déficit de atenção e hiperatividade: características neuropsicológicas. In: Ponsoni A, Teixeira AL, Malloy-Diniz LF, Fonseca RP, eds. *Neuropsicologia dos transtornos psiquiátricos*, 1st ed., Belo Horizonte: Editora Ampla; 2022:159–67.
62. Luoni C, Scorza M, Stefanelli S, Fagiolini B, Termine C. A Neuropsychological Profile of Developmental Dyscalculia: the Role of Comorbidity. *J Learn Disabil*. 2023;56(4):310–23.
63. Holmes J, Bryant A, the CALM TeamGathercole SE. Protocol for a transdiagnostic study of children with problems of attention, learning and memory (CALM). *BMC Pediatr*. 2019; 19(1):10.
64. Kohli A, Malhotra S, Mohanty M, Khehra N, Kaur M. Specific learning disabilities in children: deficits and neuropsychological profile. *Int J Rehabil Res*. 2005;28(2):165–9.